



ISSN 1313 - 8820
Volume 2, Number 2
June 2010

AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

2010

An International Journal Published by Faculty of Agriculture,
Trakia University, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

Editor-in-Chief

Tsanko Yablanski
Faculty of Agriculture
Trakia University, Stara Zagora
Bulgaria

Co-Editor-in-Chief

Radoslav Slavov
Faculty of Agriculture
Trakia University, Stara Zagora
Bulgaria

Editors and Sections

Genetics and Breeding

Atanas Atanassov (Bulgaria)
Ihsan Soysal (Turkey)
Max Rothschild (USA)
Stoitcho Metodiev (Bulgaria)

Nutrition and Physiology

Nikolai Todorov (Bulgaria)
Peter Surai (UK)
Zervas Georgios (Greece)

Production Systems

Dimitar Pavlov (Bulgaria)
Dimitar Panaiotov (Bulgaria)
Jordan Staikov (Bulgaria)
Yuliana Yarkova (Bulgaria)

Agriculture and Environment

Georgi Petkov (Bulgaria)
Ramesh Kanwar (USA)

Product Quality and Safety

Marin Kabakchiev (Bulgaria)
Stefan Denev (Bulgaria)

English Editor

Yanka Ivanova (Bulgaria)

Scope and policy of the journal

Agricultural Science and Technology /AST/ – an International Scientific Journal of Agricultural and Technology Sciences is published in English in one volume of 4 issues per year, as a printed journal and in electronic form. The policy of the journal is to publish original papers, reviews and short communications covering the aspects of agriculture related with life sciences and modern technologies. It will offer opportunities to address the global needs relating to food and environment, health, exploit the technology to provide innovative products and sustainable development. Papers will be considered in aspects of both fundamental and applied science in the areas of Genetics and Breeding, Nutrition and Physiology, Production Systems, Agriculture and Environment and Product Quality and Safety. Other categories closely related to the above topics could be considered by the editors. The detailed information of the journal is available at the website. Proceedings of scientific meetings and conference reports will be considered for special issues.

Submission of Manuscripts

All manuscript written in English should be submitted as MS-Word file attachments via e-mail to ascitech@uni-sz.bg. Manuscripts must be prepared strictly in accordance with the detailed instructions for authors at the website

<http://www.uni-sz.bg/ascitech/index.html> and the instructions on the last page of the journal. For each manuscript the signatures of all authors are needed confirming their consent to publish it and to nominate an author for correspondence. They have to be presented by a submission letter signed by all authors. The form of the submission letter is available upon request from the Technical Assistance or could be downloaded from the website of the journal. All manuscripts are subject to editorial review and the editors reserve the right to improve style and return the paper for rewriting to the authors, if necessary. The editorial board reserves rights to reject manuscripts based on priorities and space availability in the journal.

Subscriptions

Agricultural Science and Technology is published four times a year. The subscription price for institutions is 80 € and for personal subscription 30 € which

include electronic access and delivery. Subscription run for full calendar year. Orders, which must be accompanied by payment may be sent direct to the publisher:

Trakia University
Faculty of Agriculture, Bank account:
UniCredit Bulbank,
Sofia BIC: UNCRBGSF

IBAN: BG29UNCR76303100117681
With UniCredit Bulbank Stara Zagora

Internet Access

This journal is included in the Trakia University Journals online Service which can be found at www.uni-sz.bg.

Copyright

All rights reserved. No part of this publications may be translated into other languages, reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying or any information storage and retrieval system without permission in writing from the publisher.

Address of Editorial office:

Agricultural Science and Technology
Faculty of Agriculture, Trakia University
Student's campus, 6000 Stara Zagora
Bulgaria

Telephone.: +359 42 699330
+359 42 699446

<http://www.uni-sz.bg/ascitech/index.html>

Technical Assistance:

Nely Tzvetanova
Telephone.: +359 42 699446
E-mail: ascitech@uni-sz.bg

ISSN 1313 - 8820

Volume 2, Number 2
June 2010



*AGRICULTURAL
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*

2010

An International Journal Published by Faculty of Agriculture,
Trakia University, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria



— PRODUCTION OF FRESH —
SAUSAGES AND OTHER MEAT PRODUCTS



*KEN ...
Everywhere
you go*

KEN LTD
6000 Stara Zagora
www.ken.bg
for contacts:
+359 42 64 11 28
60 24 92
fax: +359 42 60 11 46



Adaptive possibility and yield stability of varieties of oil-bearing roses

N. Kovatcheva^{1*}, K. Rusanov², I. Atanasov²

¹Institute of roses and aromatic plants, 49 Osvobozhdenie, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria

²AgroBioInstitute, 8 Dragan Tsankov, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria

Abstract. Oil bearing roses are traditionally grown in regions like the famous "rose valley" in Bulgaria where the climate is known to be favorable for high rose flower and essential oil yield. However, environmental conditions could vary within the microregions of the rose valley as well as between years. In the current study four oil rose cultivars (cv. Yanina, cv. Elejna, cv. Iskra and cv. Svezhen) have been evaluated for the stability and adaptability of the yield of fresh flower and essential oil. The adaptive potential and response of the four cultivars to unfavorable environmental conditions are discussed. The study is a first step for implementing targeted distribution of oil rose planting material to different microregions of the "rose valley" and other rose growing regions.

Keywords: oil-bearing roses, adaptability, stability

Introduction

The climatic changes during the last several years have brought periods of long drought. These changes have led to substantial drop of the oil rose flower yields and respectively rose essential oil. The amount of rose flowers necessary for production of 1 kg of rose oil has dramatically increased. While in the past the necessary amount of rose flowers for production of 1 kg of rose oil was around 3000-3500 kg, today this amount has reached 4000-4500 kg of rose flowers. This has influenced the prime cost of the product rose oil and its competitiveness. Until now research on the stability and adaptability of the yield of the oil rose cultivars has not been done in Bulgaria. This leads to the necessity Bulgarian cultivars to be characterized in terms of their adaptability and stability of yield under industrial conditions in the "rose valley" (the valley of the town of Kazanlak).

As a result of the numerous studies on the biodiversity of the Kazanlashka oil rose population done by Topalov (1978), Staykov and Astadzhov (1975), Astadzhov (1975), the cultivars Iskra and Svezhen have been created. Tsvetkov (1984) has created 14 clones through ionizing radiation and supermutagens. Two of them with a proven cold resistance and resistance to important from economic point of view diseases have been proposed for cultivar registration - cv. Eleyna and cv. Yanina. Subject of the current study are the above-mentioned above four cultivars. Data is presented related to the index of productivity of fresh flower (t/ha) and rose oil yield (kg/ha) for the period 2006-2009.

The aim of the current research is to evaluate the Bulgarian rose oil cultivars for their effectiveness for production based on the adaptive potential and resistance to changing environmental conditions.

Material and methods

Rose growing and flower harvesting

The rose cultivars have been grown on the territory of IRAP

using the block method, with 4 variants (cultivars) in 3 repetitions at 16 m² planted area for each. The flower has been harvested each day during the whole flowering period and the total harvest has been accounted for at the end of the rose-picking season.

Rose oil distillation

The content of rose oil was estimated through microdistillation of mean samples of the particular variants.

Statistic analysis of the data

The statistical processing of the data was done using the BIOSAT statistical package (AnalystSoft, Biostat). The data obtained were mathematically processed by dispersion analysis of two factors (Genchev et al., 1975; Lakin, 1990) assessing the strength of influence of the factors of variation (Plohiniskii, 1970). The coefficients of variation (Genchev et al., 1975), the parameters of stability: coefficient of regression bi and the mean square deviation from the regression S^2di (Penchev, 2009; Eberhart and Russell, 1966) and the index for general adaptation $xi - bi$ (Valchinkov, 1990) were estimated. Using the model of Eberhart and Russell (1966), the coefficient of determination has been presented calculated on the basis of correlation between the mean values for the particular genotype under the conditions of analysis and the mean values of all assessed genotypes under the same conditions.

Results and discussion

The results from the combined analysis on the yield of fresh flower and essential oil are presented in Table 1. The effects of the year, the genotype and their interaction with the exception of "year" and "cultivar x year" over flower yield are with high significance ($p < 0,01$, $p < 0,05$). Based on the total variance, the greatest variation is caused by the genotype followed by the effect of the year and the interaction between them. The genetic diversity has a dominating influence - 65.58% and 26.05%, respectively, over the productivity of the analyzed cultivars. Only 3.10% and 19.34% are due to the differences among the years. The separation of the dispersion on components reveals the specific interaction genotype x environment which has an influence of 4.62% and 26.73% over the changes in the

* e-mail: n.kovatcheva@abv.bg

Table 1. Dispersion analysis and influence of the factors of variation over the yield of fresh flower and essential oil

Sources of variation	Degree of freedom	Variance		Strength of influence of the factors of variation	
		Fresh flower yield	Essential oil yield	Fresh flower yield (%)	Essential oil yield (%)
Cultivar	3	23.09**	0.76***	65.58	26.05
Year	3	1.09	0.56**	3.10	19.34
Cultivar X Year	9	0.54	0.26*	4.62	26.73
Residual	30	0.83	0.07		

*, **, *** - demonstrated at $p>0.05$, $p>0.01$, $p>0.001$

yield of fresh flower and the production of essential oil respectively. The observed differences for the interaction genotype x environment ($p<0,05$) in respect to the yield of essential oil demonstrate the response of the genotype to the different environment. This allows a reliable evaluation of the stability and adaptability to be carried out.

The parameters for stability and adaptability in relation to the yield of fresh flower are presented in Table 2. Finlay and Wilkinson (1963) define a stable genotype as one showing $b_i=0$, while Eberhart and Russell (1966) - with $b_i=1$. Most of the authors consider the S^2d_i to be the parameter for stability rather than the b_i . Eberhart and Russell (1966) emphasize the necessity of linear (b_i) and non-linear (S^2d_i) components of the interaction genotype x environment to be inspected together in order a given genotype to be defined as stable at a high significance of the analyzed traits. The wide adaptability of

the genotype is defined with $b_i=1$ and a high stability with $S^2d_i=0$.

The analysis of the data related to the productivity of fresh flower reveals that the variation of this trait is between 17,64% and 23,15% where the best productivity (higher than the average 5,60 t/decare for the genotype) is observed for cv. Yanina (Table 2). The values of the regression coefficient (b_i) vary from 0,874 (cv. Yanina) to 1,071 (cv. Elejna) for flower yield. The calculated parameters for stability define cv. Elejna and cv. Iskra for which $b_i>1$, as responsive in unfavorable environmental conditions or with unstable behavior at a wider range of environmental conditions. The high value of $S^2d_i>0$ defines their behavior as more difficult for prognosis during environmental changes which is supported by the lower coefficients of determination.

The yields of cv. Svezhen can be defined as stable since they

Table 2. Stability and adaptability of the fresh flower yield

Cultivars	Yield <i>t/ha</i>	Coefficient of variation %	Parameters of stability			Index of total adaptation <i>x_i-b_i</i>
			<i>b_i</i>	S^2d_i	R^2	
Iskra	5.50	20.31	1.063	1.099	0.647	4.437
Svezhen	5.37	22.94	1.017	0.269	0.441	4.353
Elejna	5.53	23.15	1.071	0.595	0.787	4.459
Yanina	5.97	17.64	0.874	0.433	0.791	5.096
Mean	5.60					

are close to the so called "mean stability" showing values for $b_i = 1,017$, which is close to the theoretical model $b_i=1$, $S^2d_i=0$. On the other hand, the coefficient of determination is the lowest and does not support its stability. Cv. Yanina has a high yield with a regression coefficient lower than 1 ($b_i=0,874$) and therefore it may be considered well adapted to all environments. Yanina shows relatively small deviations from the regression, the highest coefficient of determination and it can be considered as stable genotype.

The index of general adaptation defines Yanina as a cultivar with wider adaptability relative to the productivity. The rest of the cultivars show similar plasticity lower than Yanina which is an indication for their narrower adaptability.

The yield of essential oil is a function of the yield of fresh flower and the content of essential oil in the flowers. Several factors have a

substantial influence on the yield of essential oil, including: relative humidity in the early morning hours, temperature, storage of the harvested flower, technological parameters of the distillation process. The results from microdistillation reveal significant yield diversity among the cultivars.

The coefficient of variation for essential oil yield is between 8,94% and 25,12% and the highest productivity above the average is demonstrated by cv. Yanina and cv. Iskra. Cv. Iskra is not well adapted and is responsive to unfavorable environmental conditions, demonstrating the highest deviations of the analyzed indicator, where $b_i>1$, $S^2d_i>0$, $x_i-b_i= -0.28$ (Table 3). Yanina is again the most stable and adaptive cultivar which is supported by the coefficient of regression $b_i<1$, small deviation from the regression, high coefficient of determination and index of general adaptation.

The other two cultivars (cv. Svezhen and cv. Elejna) show a

Table 3. Stability and adaptability of the essential oil yield

Cultivars	Yield	Coefficient of variation	Parameters of stability			Index of total adaptation
	t/ha	%	bi	S ² di	R ²	xi-bi
Iskra	1.71	25.12	1.987	0.0901	0.542	-0.28
Svezhen	1.37	15.18	0.5027	0.0652	0.246	0.87
Elejna	1.45	8.94	0.296	0.02802	0.288	1.15
Yanina	1.86	19.16	0.2226	0.0873	0.468	1.64
Mean	1.60					

regression coefficient $b_i < 1$ and essential oil yield lower than the average for the genotype which is an indication that they offer a higher resistance to the changes in the environment with lower deviation of the analyzed indicator ($S^2 d_i = 0,065$ and $0,028$). Cultivar Elejna has a wider adaptability, where $(x_i - b_i = 1,15)$.

The analysis of the obtained results allows the differentiation of the cultivars to be based not only on the general indicators of productivity but also on their adaptive potential and resistance to unfavorable environmental conditions. Since oil bearing roses are grown in different regions alike (warmer and drier regions south of the Stara planina mountain, colder and more humid in the south slopes of the Stara planina mountain, in higher areas of the "rose valley" and at altitude over 400 meters), the results obtained could be used for defining cultivars suitable for the different regions of cultivation. The demonstrated diversity of plasticity over the analyzed four cultivars gives opportunities for their more successful regional distribution in the different microregions of the "rose valley".

Conclusion

Cultivar Yanina shows the highest productivity of fresh flower, essential oil and shows a wide adaptability where stability of the yields could be expected during unfavorable environmental conditions. Cultivar Elejna shows lower productivity than the average for the genotype and is more sensitive to the environment in respect to fresh flower yield but has a higher stability and high adaptability in respect to essential oil yield. Cultivar Iskra shows a good general productivity, stability of the yields but only under favorable environmental conditions due to the low ability for adaptation especially in respect to essential oil yield. Cultivar Svezhen shows the lowest productivity indicators combined with

moderate stability of fresh flower yield and elevated stability of essential oil yield together with low adaptability of the yields.

References

- AnalystSoft, BioStat** - statistical analysis program. Version 2008. See <http://www.analystsoft.com>
- Astazhov N**, 1975. Studies on the variety of the population of the Kazanlak Rose. *Plant Science*, 5, 19-25, (Bg).
- Eberhart, SA and Russell WA**, 1966. Stability Parameters for Comparing Varieties. *Crop Science*, 6, 36-40.
- Finlay KW and Wilkinson GN**, 1963. Adaptation in a plant breeding programme. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 14, 742-754.
- Genchev G, Marinkov E, Yovcheva V and Ognianova A**, 1975. Biometric methods in crop production, genetics and breeding. Zemizdat, Sofia, 321, (Bg).
- Lakin G**, 1990. *Biometrics*, High school, Moskva, 359, (Ru).
- Penchev E**, 2009. Statistical methods and software in agricultural research, *Field Crops Studies*, V-1, 83-88, (Bg).
- Plohinskii N**, 1970. *Biometrics*, Kolos, Moskva, 334, (Ru).
- Staykov V and Astazhov N**, 1975. New sorts oil-bearing roses, Scientific Session, 15-16 May, Sofia, 110-117, (Bg).
- Topalov V**, 1978. Kazanlak rose and rose production in Bulgaria. *Plovdiv*, 211, (Bg).
- Tsvetkov R**, 1984. The result from testing of perspective mutants from Kazanlak oil-bearing rose. Scientific Session meeting, 28-29 June, Kazanlak, 33, (Bg).
- Valchinkov S**, 1990. Method for classification of genotypes with relatively high and stable yield. *Science Works Higher Institute of Agriculture*, XXXV, 4, 161-165, (Bg).

Instruction for authors

Preparation of papers

Papers shall be submitted at the editorial office typed on standard typing pages (A4, 30 lines per page, 62 characters per line). The editors recommend up to 15 pages for full research paper (including abstract references, tables, figures and other appendices)

The manuscript should be structured as follows: Title, Names of authors and affiliation address, Abstract, List of keywords, Introduction, Material and methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements (if any), References, Tables, Figures.

The title needs to be as concise and informative about the nature of research. It should be written with small letter /bold, 14/ without any abbreviations.

Names and affiliation of authors

The names of the authors should be presented from the initials of first names followed by the family names. The complete address and name of the institution should be stated next. The affiliation of authors are designated by different signs. For the author who is going to be corresponding by the editorial board and readers, an E-mail address and telephone number should be presented as footnote on the first page. Corresponding author is indicated with *.

Abstract should be not more than 350 words. It should be clearly stated what new findings have been made in the course of research. Abbreviations and references to authors are inadmissible in the summary. It should be understandable without having read the paper and should be in one paragraph.

Keywords: Up to maximum of 5 keywords should be selected not repeating the title but giving the essence of study.

The introduction must answer the following questions: What is known and what is new on the studied issue? What necessitated the research problem, described in the paper? What is your hypothesis and goal ?

Material and methods: The objects of research, organization of experiments, chemical analyses, statistical and other methods and conditions applied for the experiments should be described in detail. A criterion of sufficient information is to be

possible for others to repeat the experiment in order to verify results.

Results are presented in understandable tables and figures, accompanied by the statistical parameters needed for the evaluation. Data from tables and figures should not be repeated in the text. **Tables** should be as simple and as few as possible. Each table should have its own explanatory title and to be typed on a separate page. They should be outside the main body of the text and an indication should be given where it should be inserted.

Figures should be sharp with good contrast and rendition. Graphic materials should be preferred. Photographs to be appropriate for printing. Illustrations are supplied in colour as an exception after special agreement with the editorial board and possible payment of extra costs. The figures are to be each in a single file and their location should be given within the text.

Discussion: The objective of this section is to indicate the scientific significance of the study. By comparing the results and conclusions of other scientists the contribution of the study for expanding or modifying existing knowledge is pointed out clearly and convincingly to the reader.

Conclusion: The most important consequences for the science and practice resulting from the conducted research should be summarized in a few sentences. The conclusions shouldn't be numbered and no new paragraphs be used. Contributions are the core of conclusions.

References:

In the text, references should be cited as follows: single author: Sandberg (2002); two authors: Andersson and Georges (2004); more than two authors: Andersson et al.(2003). When several references are cited simultaneously, they should be ranked by chronological order e.g.: (Sandberg, 2002; Andersson et al., 2003; Andersson and Georges, 2004). References are arranged alphabetically by the name of the first author. If an author is cited more than once, first his individual publications are given ranked by year, then come publications with one co-author, two co-authors, etc. The names of authors, article and journal titles in the Cyrillic or alphabet different from Latin, should be transliterated into Latin and article titles should be translated into English. The original language of articles and books translated into English is indicated in

parenthesis after the bibliographic reference (Bulgarian = Bg, Russian = Ru, Serbian = Sr, if in the Cyrillic, Mongolian = Mo, Greek = Gr, Georgian = Geor., Japanese = Ja, Chinese = Ch, Arabic = Ar, etc.)

The following order in the reference list is recommended:

Journal articles: Author(s) surname and initials, year. Title. Full title of the journal, volume, pages. Example:

Simm G, Lewis RM, Grundy B and Dingwall WS, 2002. Responses to selection for lean growth in sheep. *Animal Science*, 74, 39-50

Books: Author(s) surname and initials, year. Title. Edition, name of publisher, place of publication. Example: **Oldenbroek JK**, 1999. Genebanks and the conservation of farm animal genetic resources, Second edition. DLO Institute for Animal Science and Health, Netherlands.

Book chapter or conference proceedings: Author(s) surname and initials, year. Title. In: Title of the book or of the proceedings followed by the editor(s), volume, pages. Name of publisher, place of publication. Example:

Mauff G, Pulverer G, Operkuch W, Hummel K and Hidden C, 1995. C3-variants and diverse phenotypes of unconverted and converted C3. In: Provides of the Biological Fluids (ed. H. Peters), vol. 22, 143-165, Pergamon Press. Oxford, UK.

Todorov N and Mitev J, 1995. Effect of level of feeding during dry period, and body condition score on reproductive performance in dairy cows, IXth International Conference on Production Diseases in Farm Animals, Sept.11 – 14, Berlin, Germany, p. 302 (Abstr.).

Thesis:

Penkov D, 2008. Estimation of metabolic energy and true digestibility of amino acids of some feeds in experiments with muscus duck (*Carina moshata*, L). Thesis for DSc. Agrarian University, Plovdiv, 314 pp.

The Editorial Board of the Journal is not responsible for incorrect quotes of reference sources and the relevant violations of copyrights.



CONTENTS

Genetics and Breeding

- Influence of various cryoprotectants on the sperm mobility of Muscovy semen before and after cryopreservation** 57
V. Gerzilov

61

Adaptive possibility and yield stability of varieties of oil-bearing roses

N. Kovatcheva, K. Rusanov, I. Atanasov

Nutrition and Physiology

Shelter policies in the management of canine aggression

A. Arnaudova, I. Varlyakov

64

Production Systems

Daily dynamics of the essential oils of *Rosa damascena* Mill. and *Rosa alba* L.

A. Dobreva, N. Kovacheva

71

Effectiveness of the insecticide "Mido 20 SL" in the fight with the green rose aphid populations (*Macrosiphum Rosae* L)

H. Lambev

75

Potassium fertilization on cotton

G. Panayotova, N. Valkova

78

Agriculture and Environment

Microbial communities in areas affected by formation of calcrete in the Thracian plane

S. Bratkova, K. Nikolova, K. Gesheva

84

An approach for Fusarium infected corn kernels recognition using linear discrete models

P. Daskalov, V. Mancheva, Ts. Draganova, R. Tsonev

90

Mechanism-based category formation of aldehydes for acute aquatic toxicity and mutagenicity

Y. Koleva

96

Quality and Safety

Gas-chromatography and organoleptic analysis of the essential oil of *Agastache foeniculum* (Pursh.) Kuntze

G. Zhekova, A. Dzhurmanski, A. Dobreva

102

Comparative studies on the fatty acid composition of White brined cheese, marketed in the town of Stara Zagora

N. Naydenova, K. Davidova, T. Iliev, G. Mihaylova

105